IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.94 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.590 OF 2004

Shri Mukund Keshav Khadilkar ...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

....

Mr.G.H.Keluskar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt.V.R.Bhosale, APP, for Stat.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.

DATED : 6th March, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicant was granted bail by this Court and he was granted time to furnish fresh bail bonds. The applicant could not furnish the bail bonds within that period hence he sought extension of time to furnish the fresh bail bonds. Time came to be granted to the applicant to furnish fresh bail bonds. However, as the applicant failed to furnish bail bonds, bailable

warrant came to be issued against the applicant. Now, the learned Advocate for the applicant states that as directed by this Court the applicant has furnished fresh bail bonds on 2nd March, 2007. In view of this statement, the bailable warrant issued by this Court against the applicant shall stand cancelled.

3. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)